



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/770,354	02/02/2004	Douglas Scott McKellar	AIR-14779.001	7643	
40854	7590 03/22/2006		EXAMINER		
•	ILL, PORTER & CLA	PHAN, HAU VAN			
4080 ERIE STREET WILLOUGHBY, OH 44094-7836			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3618		

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			54	MCKELLAR, DOUGLAS SCOTT				
			г	Art Unit				
		Hau V. P		3618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, it reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF TO CFR 1.136(a). In no exation. by period will apply and volume the apply statute, cause the apply and volume to the apply apply apply apply and volume to the apply app	HIS COMMUNICATION yent, however, may a reply be timularly will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	N. nety filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 02 February 20	104					
		sponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 February 2004.</u> is action is FINAL .						
′=	·=							
ا (۵	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
		nder Ex parte Q	<i>tayle</i> , 1900 C.D. 11, 40	03 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)[7	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
	a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
٠,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* 0		•	` ''	ai.				
3	See the attached detailed Office action for	r a list of the cert	med copies not receive	ca.				
Attachmen								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	14.0\	4) Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa		O-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	,	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-18 and 22, drawn to a device for equipping a recliner, classified in class 280, subclass 79.2.
 - II. Claims 20-21, drawn to a chair, classified in class 297, subclass 497.1.
 - III. Claims 19, 24, drawn to a mounting bracket, classified in class 297, subclass 85.
- IV. Claims 25-26, drawn to a kit, classified in class 297, subclass 35.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions I, III, IV and II are related as combination and subcombination.

 Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because claim 20, recites a chair including a mounting bracket, a base frame. The subcombination has separate utility such as a mounting bracket can be used on table, cart and post.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/770,354 Page 3

Art Unit: 3618

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct

species: ***

Group 1, figures 1-5.

Group 2, figures 6-8a.

Group 3, figure 9.

The species are independent or distinct because group 2, figure 6 having a support bar including a pair of telescoping member.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

5. A telephone call was made to Mr. David Spaw on 3/20 /2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hau V. Phan whose telephone number is 571-272-6696. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM-4:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/770,354

Art Unit: 3618

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Ellis can be reached on 571-272-6914. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hau V Phan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3618

1/couphon 3/20/06